

Message

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ [Edward_A_Boling@ceq.eop.gov]
Sent: 4/28/2017 1:04:58 PM
To: Tomiak, Robert [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e43d67fe354a4d06be80afa6eb65e614-Tomiak, Rob]
CC: Knight, Kelly [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=47fc4b0c90f94826a1d9a48381f4009f-Knight, Kel]; Marshall, Tom [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=5d29134e5e32489b9ab0aeb262f55075-TMARSHAL]
Subject: RE: Rosemont Mine
Attachments: Rosemont_ROD_Communication_timeline.docx

Attached is the Forest Service proposal for discussion at 2pm today.

-----Original Message-----

From: Tomiak, Robert [mailto:tomiak.robert@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ <Edward_A_Boling@ceq.eop.gov>
Cc: Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>; Marshall, Tom <marshall.tom@epa.gov>
Subject: Rosemont Mine

Per our discussion, R9 issue paper attached. Please do not disseminate further; we need to be able to put our internal staffing/vetting on a better path.

Also per our discussion this morning; we would appreciate clarity and federal agreement on the date that the referral clock officially started. As you know, the letter from USFS (second attachment) was dated April 6th, but wasn't received until April 12th. Given past agreements with USFS and other parties, their action was unexpected. A 6-day delay in receipt of such a letter (when a scanned version could have easily been emailed) on top of all else is probably not what we all would agree is a fair way for agencies to interact with each other. Your assistance on this to determine a date certain that we can all rely upon for purposes of contemplating options would be most helpful.

Thanks, Rob